ACOUSTIC LOGGING TOOL HAVING QUADRAPOLE SOURCE

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Field of the Invention

[0001] The present invention relates generally to systems and methods for determining characteristics of a formation surrounding a borehole. More particularly, the present invention relates to an acoustic logging tool that provides enhanced performance through use of a quadrapole source configuration.

Description of Related Art

[0002] Acoustic well logging is a well-developed art, and details of acoustic logging tools and techniques are set forth in A. Kurkjian, et al., "Slowness Estimation from Sonic Logging Waveforms", Geoexploration, Vol. 277, pp. 215-256 (1991); C. F. Morris et al., "A New Sonic Array Tool for Full Waveform Logging," SPE-13285, Society of Petroleum Engineers (1984); A. R. Harrison et al., "Acquisition and Analysis of Sonic Waveforms From a Borehole Monopole and Dipole Source . . . " SPE 20557, pp. 267-282 (September 1990); and C. V. Kimball and T. L. Marzetta, "Semblance Processing of Borehole Acoustic Array Data", Geophysics, Vol. 49, pp. 274-281 (March 1984), all of which are hereby incorporated by reference herein.

[0003] An acoustic logging tool typically includes an acoustic source (transmitter), and a set of receivers that are spaced several inches or feet apart. An acoustic signal is transmitted by the acoustic source and received at the receivers of the borehole tool which are spaced apart from the acoustic source. Measurements are repeated every few inches as the tool passes along the borehole.

43865.03/1391.24800 - 1 -

[0004] The acoustic signal from source travels through the formation adjacent the borehole to the receiver array, and the arrival times and perhaps other characteristics of the receiver responses are recorded. Typically, compressional wave (P-wave), shear wave (S-wave), and Stoneley wave arrivals and waveforms are detected by the receivers and are processed. The processing of the data is often accomplished uphole or may be processed real time in the tool itself. Regardless, the information that is recorded is typically used to find formation characteristics such as formation slowness (the inverse of acoustic speed), from which pore pressure, porosity, and other formation property determinations can be made. In some tools, the acoustic signals may even be used to image the formation.

[0005] Logging-while-drilling (LWD) logging tools are generally located as close to the drill bit as possible, so as to minimize the delay between reaching a formation and measuring its properties. When implemented as LWD tools, acoustic logging tools must overcome a number of obstacles to perform successfully. These obstacles include drilling noise, and acoustic properties of the thick tool body. The drilling operation creates a continuous low-frequency noise that can interfere with acoustic measurements. For the most part, the noise of the drilling operation exists only in a range of frequencies below about 2 kHz. Accordingly, the drilling noise can be effectively screened out in tools designed to operate in higher frequency ranges.

[0006] The portion of the drill string near the drill bit is typically designed to withstand the large compressive force created by the weight of the drill string resting on the drill bit. Accordingly, the walls of the tubing in this region is substantially thicker than the average wall thickness of the drill string. The outer-diameter of the tubing is consequently increased, particularly for LWD tools, which have to accommodate the bulk of logging instruments in addition to an inner bore for fluid flow.

43865.03/1391.24800 - 2 -

[0007] In acoustic tools, increasing the rigidity of the tool increases the amplitude of "the tool mode", i.e. the amplitude of the acoustic energy that propagates through the body of the tool between the acoustic source and the receiver array. It is desirable to minimize the tool mode because this energy can interfere with the desired measurements of the true formation shear wave velocity.

[0008] Increasing the outer diameter of the tool (relative to the borehole diameter) also increases the dispersion of interface waves. Interface waves are acoustic energy that propagates along the borehole boundary. For soft formations where the shear wave velocity is slower than the borehole fluid sound velocity, the interface wave velocity provides the best measurable indication of the true formation velocity. Dispersion of these waves reduces the measurement accuracy. Accordingly, it is desirable to reduce the dispersion of interface waves.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

[0009] Accordingly, there is disclosed herein an acoustic tool that provides a reduced tool mode and enhanced accuracy for estimating shear wave propagation slowness in slow formations. In one embodiment, the acoustic tool comprises: an acoustic source, an array of acoustic receivers, and an internal controller. The acoustic source excites waves that propagate in a quadrapole mode. The internal controller processes signals from the array of acoustic receivers to determine a peak phase semblance having a slowness value that varies with frequency. The minimum slowness value associated with the peak phase semblance provides an accurate estimate of the shear wave propagation slowness. The acoustic source preferably includes four source elements. The elements that are 90° apart are preferably driven in inverse-phase to obtain the quadrapole excitation pattern.

43865.03/1391.24800 - 3 -

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

[0010] For a more detailed description of the preferred embodiment of the present invention, reference will now be made to the accompanying drawings, wherein:

- Fig. 1 shows a typical logging while drilling environment;
- Fig. 2 shows a preferred acoustic logging tool embodiment;
- Fig. 3 shows a cross-sectional view of the acoustic source portion of the logging tool;
- Figs. 4A-4C show monopole, dipole, and quadrapole excitation patterns;
- Figs. 5A-5D show simulation results for monopole excitation;
- Figs. 6A-6D show simulation results for dipole excitation;
- Figs. 7A-7D show simulation results for quadrapole excitation; and
- Fig. 8 shows a flowchart of a method for determining shear wave propagation slowness in slow formations.

[0011] While the invention is susceptible to various modifications and alternative forms, specific embodiments thereof are shown by way of example in the drawings and will herein be described in detail. It should be understood, however, that the drawings and detailed description thereto are not intended to limit the invention to the particular form disclosed, but on the contrary, the intention is to cover all modifications, equivalents and alternatives falling within the spirit and scope of the present invention as defined by the appended claims.

[0012] It is noted that speed and slowness are inversely related, and the determination of one automatically determines the other. The following discussion focuses on determining wave propagation slowness, but this is recognized to be the equivalent of determining wave propagation speed.

43865.03/1391.24800 - 4 -

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

[0013] Turning now to the figures, Fig. 1 illustrates a typical logging-while-drilling environment. A drilling platform 2 is equipped with a derrick 4 that supports a hoist 6. The drilling is carried out by a string of drill pipes connected together by "tool" joints 7 so as to form a drill string 8. The hoist 6 suspends a kelly 10 that is used to lower the drill string 8 through rotary table 12. Connected to the lower end of the drill string 8 is a drill bit 14. The bit 14 is rotated and drilling accomplished by rotating the drill string 8, by use of a downhole motor near the drill bit, or by both methods. Drilling fluid, termed "mud", is pumped by mud recirculation equipment 16 through supply pipe 18, through drilling kelly 10, and down through the drill string 8 at high pressures and volumes to emerge through nozzles or jets in the drill bit 14. The mud then travels back up the hole via the annulus formed between the exterior of the drill string 8 and the borehole wall 20, through a blowout preventer (not specifically shown), and into a mud pit 24 on the surface. On the surface, the drilling mud is cleaned and then recirculated by recirculation equipment 16. The drilling mud is used to cool the drill bit 14, to carry cuttings from the base of the bore to the surface, and to balance the hydrostatic pressure in the rock formations.

[0014] In a preferred embodiment, downhole sensors 26 are coupled to a downhole controller/telemetry transmitter 28 that transmits telemetry signals by modulating the mud flow in drill string 8. A telemetry receiver may be coupled to the kelly 10 to receive transmitted telemetry signals. Other telemetry transmission techniques are well known and may be used. One of the sensors 26 is an LWD acoustic tool located near the drill bit 14.

[0015] Fig. 2 shows a preferred embodiment of an acoustic LWD tool 40 in a borehole 20. The tool comprises an acoustic source 42, an acoustic isolator 44, and an acoustic receiver array

43865.03/1391.24800 - 5 -

46. The acoustic isolator 44 serves to attenuate and delay acoustic waves that propagate through the body of the tool from the source 42 to the receiver array 46. Any standard acoustic isolator may be used. The acoustic source 42 and receiver array 46 are preferably made up of piezoelectric elements designed to operate in downhole conditions. However, many other sources and detectors are suitable for downhole operation, and may be used.

that communicates with the downhole controller 28. When the downhole controller 28 enables the operation of the LWD tool 40, the internal controller controls the triggering and timing of the acoustic source 42, and records and processes the signals from the receiver array 46. The internal controller fires the acoustic source 42 periodically, producing acoustic pressure waves that propagate through the fluid in borehole 20 and into the surrounding formation. At the borehole boundary, some of the acoustic energy is converted into shear waves that propagate along the interface between the borehole fluid and the formation. As these "interface waves" propagate past the receiver array 46, they cause pressure variations that can be detected by the receiver array elements. The receiver array signals are processed by the internal controller to determine the true formation shear velocity. The internal controller may then communicate the formation shear velocity to the downhole controller/telemetry transmitter 28 for communication to the surface. Alternatively, the downhole controller may maintain a log of formation shear velocities downhole.

[0017] Fig. 3 shows a cross-sectional view of the LWD tool 40. The acoustic source 42 preferably consists of four elements that are equally spaced on the circumference of the tool. The receiver array preferably includes a row of elements spaced axially along the surface of the tool. The receiver array may also include additional rows of elements spaced circumferentially from

43865.03/1391.24800 - 6 -

the first row. In a preferred embodiment, the receiver array consists of four rows of elements that are circumferentially aligned with the four source elements.

[0018] The source elements can typically be fired individually and concurrently. When fired concurrently, the elements can be fired in phase and in inverse phase. An in-phase firing means that the same trigger signal is applied simultaneously to the elements. An inverse-phase firing means that as one element receives a trigger signal, another receives an inverted trigger signal, i.e. the additive inverse (negative) of the trigger signal. This firing flexibility allows for variation of the acoustic source excitation pattern.

[0019] Fig. 4A shows the four source elements being fired in-phase. This produces a monopole excitation pattern. This monopole pattern is one mode of wave propagation. Other modes of wave propagation are shown in Fig. 4B (dipole) and Fig. 4C (quadrapole). The source produces a dipole excitation pattern if elements on opposite sides of the tool are fired in inverse-phase. A quadrapole excitation pattern is produced when elements 90° apart are fired in inverse phase.

[0020] Figs. 5-7 show simulation results for these three source excitation patterns. The simulations were performed using a concentric symmetric layer model. In these simulations, the formation is assumed to be a typical slow formation, with a compressional wave propagation slowness of 110 μs/ft, and a shear wave propagation slowness of 230 μs/ft. The borehole diameter is assumed to be 8.5 inches. The inner diameter of the tool is 1.9 inches, and the outer diameter is 7.25 inches. The receiver elements are located at half-foot intervals between 4.0 ft and 7.5 ft, inclusive. Full waveform simulation has been done using existing techniques (such as 3D-finite difference numerical modeling).

43865.03/1391.24800 - 7 -

[0021] Fig. 5B shows the simulated signals received by the receiver elements. Each of the waveforms is shown for a corresponding receiver as a function of time since the transmitter firing. (Note the increased time delay before the acoustic wave reaches the increasingly distant receivers.) After recording the waveforms, the logging tool typically normalizes the waveform so that they have the same signal energy. In Fig. 5B, each waveform is labeled with a percentage to indicate the original maximum peak amplitude of the signal relative to the maximum peak amplitude of the first signal.

[0022] Fig. 5B also shows a graduated series of sloping lines to indicate the relative waveform delays to be expected for given slowness values. Slower waves (those having larger slowness values) take longer to reach the more distant receiver elements, and accordingly, their effect on the recorded waveforms is increasingly delayed for larger distances.

[0023] To identify waves and their slowness values, the acoustic logging tool may calculate the time semblance E(t,s) as a function of slowness and time for the data. This information in turn may be used to determine various formation properties, including wave propagation velocity and dispersion of acoustic waves. The equation for the time semblance E(t,s) is:

$$E(t,s) = \frac{1}{N} \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i (t - sd_i)\right)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i^2 (t - sd_i)}$$
(1)

In the above equation, N is the number of receiver elements, and hence is also the number of recorded waveforms, $x_i(t)$ is the waveform recorded by the *i*th receiver, d_i is the distance of the *i*th receiver from the transmitter, and s is the slowness. In Equation 1, the quantity $(t-sd_i)$ is the relative time at the *i*th receiver for a given slowness s.

43865.03/1391.24800 - 8 -

[0024] Semblance values E(t,s) range between zero and one. Values near one indicate a high correlation between the various recorded waveforms at the given time and slowness, and hence indicate the presence of a propagating wave having that slowness value. Values near zero indicate little correlation between the various waveforms at the given time and slowness value, and hence provide no indication of a propagating wave having that slowness value.

[0025] Fig. 5A shows the time semblance E(t,s) plot for the data in Fig. 5B. Two strong peaks are visible, along with three secondary peaks. The strong peak in the lower left corner corresponds to a compressional wave with the correct slowness (110 μ s/ft). The strong peak in the middle of the plot, and the secondary peaks below and to the right of the strong peak, correspond to the shear wave. The correct slowness value (230 μ s/ft) is provided by one of the secondary peaks, but the multiplicity and relative strength of the peaks makes it difficult to correctly determine the shear velocity.

[0026] Another function that may be useful for analyzing the performance of acoustic logging tools is the phase semblance. The phase semblance E(f,s) is determined as a function of slowness and frequency according to the following equation:

$$E(f,s) = \frac{1}{N} \frac{\left\| \sum_{i=1}^{N} \Phi[X_i(f)e^{-j(2\pi f)sd_i}] \right\|^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\| \Phi[X_i(f)e^{-j(2\pi f)sd_i}] \right\|^2}$$
(2)

In the above equation, N is the number of receivers, and hence is also the number of recorded waveforms, $X_i(t)$ is the Fourier transform of waveform $x_i(t)$, d_i is the distance of the ith receiver from the transmitter, and exponential factor $e^{-j(2\pi f)sd_i}$ is the Fourier transform equivalent of the relative time shift $(t-sd_i)$. Φ represents the phase operator of the complex number. For a complex

number $Ae^{i\theta}$, the phase given by the phase operator is $\Phi[Ae^{i\theta}]=\theta$. (No attempt is made to limit the phase to a range of principal values.)

[0027] Fig. 5C shows the phase semblance plot for the data in Fig. 5B. The phase semblance is shown as a function of frequency (in kHz) and slowness (in μs/ft). A general phase semblance relationship is visible between frequency and slowness (sloping downward from left to right), but no clear indication of the shear wave is visible.

[0028] Fig. 5D shows a plot of a term in the analytic calculation of the dispersion function as a function of frequency and slowness. The model used for this calculation is axi-symmetric. Standard continuous stress-displacement boundary conditions are used, along with a vanishing shear stress in the fluid. The dispersion function is found by predicting the behavior of the different wave propagation modes as a function of frequency. The techniques for performing these calculations may be found in the following references, which are hereby incorporated herein by reference:

K.M. White, Underground Sound, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1983

D.P. Schmitt and M. Bouchon, Full wave acoustic logging: synthetic microseismograms and frequency wavenumber analysis, Geophysics, 50, 1756-1778, 1985.

[0029] At any given frequency, four peaks are visible. The slowness value (and width) of the peaks varies as a function of frequency. The peaks are indicative of branch cuts and poles in the dispersion function. In general, the effect of the branch cuts on the waveform is negligible compared to that of poles. Two of the peaks represent branch cuts. These are relatively constant at $100 \,\mu\text{s/ft}$ and $200 \,\mu\text{s/ft}$, respectively. The bottom-most peak represents wave propagation along the interface between the tool and the borehole fluid, and the top-most peak represents wave propagation along the interface between the borehole fluid and the formation (i.e. the borehole

43865.03/1391.24800 - 10 -

boundary). Most significantly, the propagation slowness of the borehole boundary wave gradually decreases as a function of frequency from 350 μ s/ft to about 280 μ s/ft. Because the true shear wave propagation slowness is 230 μ s/ft, there is little hope for an accurate measurement of the formation shear wave slowness using the monopole source configuration.

[0030] Fig. 6B shows the signals received by the receiver elements for the dipole excitation pattern. These signals are the basis for the semblance plot in Fig. 6A and the phase semblance plot in Fig. 6C. The semblance plot in Fig. 6A shows one strong peak at about 800 μ s and 150 μ s/ft, and three secondary peaks at about (1320 μ s, 150 μ s/ft), (1900 μ s, 250 μ s/ft), and (2400 μ s, 150 μ s/ft), respectively. None of these peaks provides the correct slowness for the formation shear wave.

[0031] The phase semblance plot in Fig. 6C shows two clear phase relationships which slope downward from left to right. The lower one is indicative of the tool interface wave, and the upper one is indicative of the borehole interface wave. The presence of both waves in the region of interest may cause one of the interface waves to be obscured by the other, which may complicates measurement procedures.

[0032] Fig. 6D shows the analytic term for the dipole excitation pattern. Below 10 kHz, four peaks are apparent. (Additional peaks emerge at higher frequencies, but these are ignored for the present discussion.) As before, two of the peaks have relatively constant slowness values at 100 μs/ft and 200 μs/ft, respectively. The tool interface wave starts at about 230 μs/ft and falls off asymptotically to about 100 μs/ft. The borehole interface wave varies between 300 μs/ft and 280 μs/ft. As before, there is little hope for obtaining an accurate measurement of the formation shear wave slowness from the borehole interface wave.

43865.03/1391.24800 - 11 -

[0033] Fig. 7B shows the signals received by the receiver elements for the quadrapole excitation pattern. These signals are the basis for the semblance plot in Fig. 7A and the phase semblance plot in Fig. 7C. The semblance plot shows a single strong peak at 2000 μs and 275 μs/ft, no help is apparent here. The phase semblance plot, however, shows a clear phase relationship between the slowness and the frequency. Significantly, the phase semblance peak has a sharp minimum at 240 μs/ft. Using the quadrapole excitation pattern and the phase semblance plot provides an improved method for determining the formation shear slowness.

[0034] Fig. 7D provides additional support for the use of the quadrapole excitation pattern. The analytic term for calculating dispersion has the familiar four peaks. The peak associated with the borehole interface wave converges to the desired 230 µs/ft at about 2 kHz. For frequencies above 5 kHz, the peak maintains a slowness value of about 280 µs/ft.

[0035] From the above discussion, it is clear that the quadrapole source provides more direct results for determining the slow formation shear wave slowness than the monopole and dipole sources. A second substantial benefit of the quadrapole source is that the tool interface wave is absent from the frequency region of interest. This eliminates one potential source of interference with the measurements, and simplifies the formation measurement procedure.

[0036] Fig. 8 shows a flowchart of the improved method for determining formation slowness. In block 52, the internal controller triggers the acoustic source in a quadrapole excitation pattern. In block 54, the internal controller records the signals from the receiver array elements. In block 56, the internal controller calculates the phase semblance as a function of frequency and slowness, and in block 58, the internal controller identifies the minimum slowness value of the phase semblance peak. The internal controller communicates this value to the downhole controller as the formation shear wave slowness.

43865.03/1391.24800 - 12 -

[0037] Numerous variations and modifications will become apparent to those skilled in the art once the above disclosure is fully appreciated. It is intended that the following claims be interpreted to embrace all such variations and modifications.

[0038] For example, the present method may be used with any acoustic source that excites wave propagation in the quadrapole mode, although a quadrapole source (i.e. a source that primarily excites the quadrapole mode) is preferred. The receiver configuration may be additionally or alternatively altered (or the receiver signals processed) to enhance the quadrapole mode response and reduce the response to monopole and dipole modes. In one embodiment, the receiver array quadrapole response may be enhanced by determining a combined signal for each set of four receivers in the array. The combined signal may be the sum of inverted signals from two opposing receivers with the signals from the remaining two receivers in the set.

- 13 -